

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 20-1650

CARLOS CONCEPCION, PETITIONER

v.

UNITED STATES, RESPONDENT

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO DISPENSE
WITH PREPARATION OF A JOINT APPENDIX

Pursuant to Rule 26.8 of the Rules of this Court, petitioner respectfully seeks leave to dispense with the requirement of a joint appendix in this case. I am authorized to state that the Solicitor General's Office, on behalf of respondent, agrees that a joint appendix is not necessary.

The question presented in this case is a question of law: whether, when deciding if it should "impose a reduced sentence" on an individual under Section 404(b) of the First Step Act of 2018, 21 U.S.C. § 841 note, a district court must or may consider intervening legal and factual developments. The opinions of the court of appeals and the district court are included in the appendix to the petition for a writ of certiorari. The district court pleadings and transcript are included in the joint appendix filed in the court of appeals. In petitioner's view, no other portion of the record merits special attention such as would

warrant the preparation and expense of a joint appendix, and preparation of a joint appendix would not materially assist the Court's consideration of the case.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lisa S. Blatt
LISA S. BLATT
Counsel of Record
WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
725 Twelfth Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 434-5000
lblatt@wc.com

October 22, 2021